



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/893,566	06/29/2001	Joon-Ha Park	8733.454.00	5094	
30827 7	7590 02/18/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW			NGUYEN, JENNIFER T		
	WASHINGTON, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2674		
			DATE MAILED: 02/18/2004	4 8	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		LA PLATE NO	A P			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/893,566	PARK ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jennifer T Nguyen	2674			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.5 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 J	<u>lune 2001</u> .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□	4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 6 and 7 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uther:						

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office action is responsive to amendment filed on 12/14/2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tanaka et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,867,139).

Regarding claims 1 and 8, referring to Figs. 1 and 2, Tanaka teaches a method of driving a liquid crystal display device, wherein the liquid crystal display device includes a gate line (3-1, 3-2...); a data line (4-1, 4-2...) crossing the gate line; a dummy gate line (3-0) adjacent the gate line; a thin film transistor (5) connected to the gate and data lines; a first capacitor (cgd2) receiving signals from the thin film transistor (5); and a storage capacitor (13) connected to the first capacitor (cgd2), the method comprising applying a gate signal (G-1) to the gate lines (3-1) and applying a logic high dummy gate signal (positive voltage level, G-0, Fig. 2) to the dummy gate line (3-0), wherein the dummy gate signal has a substantially same waveform as the gate signal applied to the gate line (see abstract, from col. 4, line 59 to col. 5, line 20 and from col. 6, line 59 to col. 7, line 47).

Regarding claims 2 and 3, Tanaka further teaches the gate signal (G-1, G-2...) is a pulse signal having a high period of one horizontal line period (1H) Figs. 2 and 7).

Regarding claim 4, Tanaka further teaches the high period of the dummy gate signal (G-

Art Unit: 2674

0) precedes the high period of the gate signal by one horizontal line period (Fig. 2, col. 7, lines 21-47).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,867,139) in view of Nakamura et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,204,895).

Regarding claim 5, referring to Figs. 1 and 2, Tanaka teaches the liquid crystal display device includes a gate line (3-1); a data line (4-1) crossing the gate line; a dummy gate line (3-0) adjacent the gate line; a thin film transistor (5) connected to the gate and data lines; a first capacitor (cgd2) receiving signals from the thin film transistor; and a storage capacitor (13) connected to the first capacitor, the driving circuit comprising: a gate driver (K) producing a gate signal, the gate signal being applied to the gate line; a data driver (L) producing a data signal, the data signal being applied to the data line; and a dummy gate signal (G-0) of a substantially same waveform as the gate signal, the dummy gate signal being applied to the dummy gate line (3-1) (see abstract, from col. 4, line 59 to col. 5, line 20 and from col. 6, line 59 to col. 7, line 47).

Tanaka differs from claim 5 in that he does not specifically teach a dummy gate driver.

However, referring to Figs. 1, 9, 12, and 13, Nakamura teaches a dummy gate driver (DD)

producing a dummy gate signal (col. 5, lines 8-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one

Art Unit: 2674

of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the dummy gate driver as taught by Nakamura in the system of Tanaka in order to provide a driver thereof for preventing non-uniform brightness in the display device independently and efficiently.

- 6. Claims 6 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Jennifer T. Nguyen** whose telephone number is **703-305-3225**. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard A Hjerpe can be reach at 703-305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC. 20231

Or faxed to: 703-872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal

Drive, Arlington, VA, sixth-floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

Art Unit: 2674

should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone

number is 703-306-0377.

Jennifer T. Nguyen 01/30/2003

REGINATIANG PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5